

Further A level Statistics 1 2020

(i) a(i) Poisson 2 every 5 mins

$$X \sim P_0(24)$$

$$P(X=26) = 0.07191271609$$

$$(ii) P(X > 20) = 1 - 0.2426386839 \\ = 0.7573613161$$

b mail 40 mins, 10 customers

2 every 5 mins $X \sim P_0(16)$ for 40 mins

$$H_0 \quad \lambda = 16$$

$$H_1 \quad \lambda < 16$$

$$P(X \leq 10) / X \sim P_0(16) = 0.07739601581$$

As $0.07739601581 > 5\%$ ~~reject~~ H_0
in favour of H_1 the ~~and~~ insufficient evidence to
reject the null hypothesis. ~~that~~ there are
~~fewer~~ ~~customers~~ No evidence there are fewer
customers.

1c Type II error, the distribution has changed, but we make the error to not reject the previous distribution

20 min period critical value for 2 every 5
8 every 20

$$X \sim P_0(8)$$

$$P(X \leq 4) = 0.09963$$

$$P(X \leq 3) = 0.04238011199$$

critical value is 3

$$\text{So } P(X \geq 4) / X \sim P_0(4)$$

$$= 1 - 0.4334701306 = 0.5665298694$$

(2) (a) Requires large n and small p , so
not a good approximation

(b) X and Y must be independent

(c) $\text{Var } W = 10 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 = 2.4$

$$P(X+Y < 2.4) \quad / \quad X+Y \sim P_0(7)$$

As only whole numbers $P(X+Y \leq 2) = 0.02963616388$

(3)

4 R

1 B

C_1 B = win else Jan go C_2 B = win

$$(a) \quad 22|22|22|W \quad \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^6 \left(\frac{1}{5}\right) = 0.0524288$$

$$(b) \quad 22|22|2 \quad \left(\frac{4}{5}\right)^5 = 0.32768$$

$$(c) \quad \text{Geo mean} \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{1/5} = 5$$

$$\text{Geo SD} = \sqrt{\frac{1-p}{p^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{1-1/5}{(1/5)^2}} =$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{4/5}{1/25}} = \sqrt{20} = 2\sqrt{5}$$

$$(d) \quad W + 2^2W + 2^4W + \dots$$

$$\text{So G.P. } r = 2^2$$

$$S_{\infty} = \frac{a}{1-r} = \frac{1/5}{1-(4/5)^2} = \frac{1/5}{9/25} = \frac{5}{9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{4} \quad \text{(a)} \quad \text{Var}(X) &= \frac{\sum x^2}{n} - \left(\frac{\sum x}{n} \right)^2 \\
 &= E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 \\
 &= 13 - 2^2 = \underline{\underline{9}}
 \end{aligned}$$

y	25	4	9	10
$P(Y=y)$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad P(Y < 9) = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{5}{12}$$

$$\textcircled{c} \quad E(XY)$$

xy	-125	-8	21	40
$P(XY=xy)$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{2}$

$$E(XY) = \frac{27}{2}$$

$$(5) \frac{\text{defective pins}}{\text{Total}} = \frac{0 \times 19 + 1 \times 11 + 2 \times 7 + 3 \times 2 + 4 \times 0 + 5 \times 1 + 6 \times 0}{40 \times 6} = 0.15$$

$$X \sim B\left(\overset{n}{6}, \overset{p}{0.15}\right)$$

10% significance level

Test stat 2.689

Number of Pins	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Expected frequency	0.83771	0.3993	0.1761	0.0414	5.4×10^{-3}	3.8×10^{-4}	1.1×10^{-5}

X 40	12.5084	15.972	7.044	1.656	0.216	0.0152	4.4×10^{-4}
------	---------	--------	-------	-------	-------	--------	----------------------

These need to be combined as each cell must be greater than 5

So Pins	0	1	2+
freq	15.084	15.972	8.71564

degrees of freedom 3 cells - 1 total must add up
- 1 estimated P

1

H_0 : This is a suitable model

H_1 : This is not a suitable model

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \chi^2_{(1.0, 10)} = 2.705$$

The value the engineer obtained was 2.689

As the engineer's value was within the allowed tolerance then there is insufficient evidence to reject H_0 . The data is consistent with the engineer's model.

$\textcircled{6}$ The proportion of defective pairs has not changed. The changes that were made all fall in the same 2^+ grouped cell, so there will be no changes overall.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \textcircled{6} \quad G_x(t) &= \frac{1}{64} (a + bt^2)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{64} (a^2 + 2abt^2 + b^2t^4) \\
 &= \frac{a^2}{64} + \frac{2abt^2}{64} + \frac{b^2t^4}{64}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{a} \quad P(X=3) = 0$$

$$\textcircled{b} \quad (i) \quad P(X=4) = \frac{b^2}{64} = \frac{25}{64} \Rightarrow b=5$$

~~$$P(X=2) = \frac{2ab}{64}$$~~

~~$$\frac{a^2}{64} + \frac{2a \times 5}{64} + \frac{25}{64} = 1$$~~

$$a^2 + 10a + 25 = 64$$

$$a^2 + 10a - 39 = 0$$

$a = 3$ or $a = -13$, just use positive

$$P(X=2) = \frac{2ab}{64} = \frac{2 \times 3 \times 5}{64} = \frac{15}{32}$$

$$(ii) \quad E(X) = G'_x(1)$$

$$G'_x(t) = \frac{4abt}{64} + \frac{4b^2t^3}{64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 G'_x(1) &= \frac{4 \times 3 \times 5}{64} + \frac{4 \times 5^2}{64} \\
 &= \frac{60}{64} + \frac{100}{64} \\
 &= \frac{160}{64} = \frac{5}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

(1) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6

(a) Use the Central Limit Theorem to enable a normal distribution of the mean

$$\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\mu = \frac{\sum f x}{\sum f} = \frac{1+2+3+4+5+6}{6}$$
$$= 3\frac{1}{2}$$

$$\sigma^2 = E(X^2) - (E(X))^2 =$$
$$= \left(\frac{1^2+2^2+3^2+4^2+5^2+6^2}{6}\right) - \left(\frac{7}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{35}{12}$$

$$\text{So } \bar{S} \sim N\left(3\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{540}\right)$$

z value for 0.05 -1.644853667

$$z = \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma} = \frac{K - 3\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{\frac{35}{540}}}$$

$$K = 3.081240754$$

(b) If n is large enough and looking at the distribution of the mean then the distribution of these means will be normal.

H_0 : The die is fair

H_1 : The die is not fair

$\bar{S} < 3.1$ or $\bar{S} > 3.9$ H_0 will be rejected

True mean 4 variance 3 of S

(c) Power is prob of correctly rejecting the null hypothesis.

$$P(\bar{S} > 3.9) \mid \bar{S} \sim N\left(4, \frac{3}{45}\right)$$

~~0.5230201536~~ 0.6507323209

$$P(\bar{S} < 3.1) \mid \bar{S} \sim N\left(4, \frac{3}{45}\right) = 2.54 \times 10^{-4}$$

Combining 0.6509777603

(d) If $n \uparrow$ the variance \nrightarrow so chance
of $\bar{S} > 3.9$ increases significantly. There
is a reduction in chance $\bar{S} < 3.1$,
but this is negligible. Overall power
increases.